

Digital Pathology Foundation Models: Advancing Computational Diagnostics in Precision Oncology

¹Dr. Raghav Bansal

¹Professor, Department of Radiation Oncology, Basaveshwara Medical College and Hospital, Chitradurga, India. Raghavbansal83@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

Digital pathology has emerged as one of the most transformative technologies in modern oncology, enabling comprehensive computational analysis of histopathological specimens at unprecedented scale and resolution. Recent advances in artificial intelligence (AI), particularly foundation models pretrained on large-scale whole-slide image datasets, have revolutionized computational pathology by enabling generalized representation learning across diverse cancer types. Unlike conventional deep learning models trained for individual diagnostic tasks, digital pathology foundation models acquire transferable histomorphological knowledge through self-supervised learning and can subsequently be adapted to tumor classification, grading, biomarker prediction, molecular characterization, prognostic assessment, therapeutic response prediction, and clinical decision support. Integration of foundation AI models with radiological imaging, genomics, transcriptomics, proteomics, metabolomics, laboratory biomarkers, and longitudinal clinical information has further accelerated precision oncology by enabling multimodal computational diagnostics. Advances in transformer architectures, graph neural networks, multimodal learning, large language models, retrieval-augmented generation, agentic AI, and generative artificial intelligence continue to expand the capabilities of digital pathology. Nevertheless, important challenges remain regarding data standardization, computational scalability, explainability, interoperability, cybersecurity, regulatory validation, and equitable implementation. This review provides a comprehensive overview of digital pathology foundation models, highlighting computational principles, current clinical applications, implementation challenges, and future perspectives for advancing computational diagnostics in precision oncology.[1]

Keywords: Digital pathology, Foundation models, Artificial intelligence, Computational pathology, Precision oncology, Whole-slide imaging, Histopathology, Machine learning, Clinical decision support, Personalized medicine.

Received Date: 5 December 2025; **Accepted Date:** 15 December 2025; **Published Date:** 20 December 2025.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and the source are properly cited.

1.Introduction

Histopathological examination remains the gold standard for cancer diagnosis, grading, staging, biomarker assessment, and therapeutic decision-making. Microscopic evaluation of tissue architecture, cellular morphology, stromal organization, immune infiltration, angiogenesis, necrosis, and molecular biomarkers provides essential biological information that guides nearly every aspect of modern oncology.[2]

The transition from conventional glass slides to whole-slide imaging has fundamentally transformed pathology by enabling digital acquisition of ultra-high-resolution tissue images suitable for computational analysis. Modern pathology laboratories now generate enormous quantities of digital histopathological data that

exceed the analytical capacity of traditional manual interpretation while creating unprecedented opportunities for artificial intelligence-driven diagnostics.[3]

Artificial intelligence has emerged as one of the most influential technologies in computational pathology by enabling automated interpretation of histopathological images. More recently, foundation AI models have substantially expanded these capabilities by learning generalized histomorphological representations from millions of tissue images through self-supervised learning, enabling adaptation across numerous downstream pathology applications.[4]

The convergence of digital pathology, foundation models, and precision oncology is redefining computational diagnostics by enabling

increasingly accurate, reproducible, and personalized cancer care throughout the clinical workflow.[5]

2.Evolution of Computational Pathology

Traditional pathology relied exclusively on manual microscopic examination performed by experienced pathologists. Although highly effective, manual interpretation remains time-intensive and may be influenced by observer variability, increasing workload, and growing diagnostic complexity associated with precision oncology.[6]

Early computational pathology systems relied on handcrafted image features and rule-based algorithms that provided limited diagnostic assistance. Machine learning subsequently introduced statistical prediction models capable of classifying tissue architecture, tumor grade, and histological subtypes through engineered image descriptors.

Deep learning revolutionized digital pathology by automatically extracting hierarchical representations from whole-slide images without requiring manual feature engineering. Convolutional neural networks substantially improved tumor detection, segmentation, biomarker prediction, and prognostic assessment across multiple malignancies.

Recent development of transformer-based foundation models has further transformed computational pathology by enabling generalized representation learning across diverse tissue types, thereby substantially improving transfer learning, robustness, and scalability across precision oncology applications.[7]

3.Principles of Digital Pathology Foundation Models

Foundation AI models differ fundamentally from conventional deep learning algorithms because they are pretrained on extremely large collections of whole-slide images before adaptation to specialized pathology tasks. Rather than learning isolated classification problems, foundation models acquire generalized histomorphological representations transferable across numerous diagnostic applications.[8]

Self-supervised learning enables discovery of latent tissue architecture without requiring extensive manual annotation. Contrastive learning aligns diverse histopathological patterns into shared computational embedding spaces, while transformer architectures efficiently model long-range contextual relationships across enormous whole-slide images.

Graph neural networks further strengthen biological reasoning by representing interactions among tumor cells, stromal components, immune populations, vascular structures, extracellular matrix organization, and molecular biomarkers. These computational principles substantially improve transfer learning, few-shot learning, zero-shot learning, and generalizability across multiple cancer types.[9]

4.Computational Architecture

Digital pathology foundation models consist of multiple interconnected computational layers responsible for image acquisition, preprocessing, representation learning, multimodal integration, downstream adaptation, and clinical decision support.[10]

The acquisition layer captures ultra-high-resolution whole-slide images generated through modern digital pathology scanners. Preprocessing pipelines normalize staining variation, remove imaging artifacts, segment tissue regions, and divide large pathology slides into computationally manageable image tiles.

Large transformer architectures subsequently learn generalized histomorphological embeddings through self-supervised pretraining. Downstream adaptation modules fine-tune these generalized representations for tumor classification, grading, biomarker prediction, recurrence estimation, molecular characterization, immune-cell quantification, and survival analysis.

Continuous integration with radiology, genomics, laboratory investigations, and longitudinal clinical information further strengthens precision oncology while supporting adaptive computational diagnostics.[11]

5.Whole-Slide Imaging and Representation Learning

Whole-slide imaging forms the foundation of computational pathology because it generates extremely high-resolution digital tissue images containing millions of cells and microscopic structures. These datasets provide ideal training material for foundation AI models capable of learning generalized histopathological representations across multiple cancer types.[12]

Artificial intelligence automatically extracts hierarchical features describing cellular morphology, nuclear architecture, glandular organization, stromal composition, necrosis, angiogenesis, fibrosis, inflammatory infiltration, and tumor heterogeneity.

Compared with conventional task-specific deep learning models, foundation models demonstrate improved robustness, transfer learning, zero-shot learning, and few-shot learning capabilities while substantially reducing dependence on manually annotated pathology datasets.[13]

6. Artificial Intelligence in Histopathological Diagnosis

Digital pathology foundation models substantially improve diagnostic accuracy by supporting automated identification of malignant lesions, tumor classification, histological grading, lymphovascular invasion, lymph node metastasis, and recurrence risk across numerous malignancies.[14]

Transformer-based computational pathology models analyze millions of microscopic tissue regions simultaneously while generating generalized histomorphological representations applicable across multiple downstream diagnostic tasks.

Artificial intelligence substantially reduces interobserver variability while improving reproducibility and diagnostic consistency among pathologists. These intelligent computational systems support rather than replace human expertise, functioning as highly effective decision-support tools throughout routine pathology practice.[15]

7. Foundation Models for Biomarker Discovery

Modern precision oncology increasingly depends upon accurate identification of clinically actionable

biomarkers that guide individualized therapeutic decision-making. Digital pathology foundation models have demonstrated remarkable capability for predicting molecular biomarkers directly from routine histopathological images without requiring additional laboratory investigations.[16]

Artificial intelligence accurately predicts EGFR mutations, KRAS mutations, HER2 amplification, microsatellite instability, estrogen receptor status, progesterone receptor status, PD-L1 expression, Ki-67 proliferation index, and numerous additional therapeutic biomarkers using generalized histomorphological representations learned through self-supervised training.

These computational biomarker predictions substantially accelerate precision oncology while reducing dependence on costly molecular testing and improving access to personalized cancer care across diverse healthcare environments.[17]

8. Multimodal Integration with Precision Oncology

The greatest strength of digital pathology foundation models lies in their integration with radiological imaging, genomics, transcriptomics, proteomics, laboratory investigations, wearable technologies, and electronic health records into comprehensive multimodal computational ecosystems.[18]

Artificial intelligence harmonizes microscopic histopathological information with macroscopic imaging phenotypes, molecular biology, laboratory biomarkers, and longitudinal clinical documentation into unified patient-specific computational representations.

These integrated multimodal models improve diagnosis, prognostic prediction, therapeutic planning, biomarker discovery, disease monitoring, and personalized medicine while supporting increasingly comprehensive computational oncology across the entire clinical workflow.[19]

9. Molecular Prediction from Histopathology

One of the most significant advances enabled by digital pathology foundation models is the prediction of molecular characteristics directly from routine hematoxylin and eosin (H&E)-stained whole-slide images. Rather than relying

exclusively on conventional molecular testing, foundation AI models identify subtle histomorphological patterns associated with genomic alterations, epigenetic changes, transcriptomic signatures, protein expression, and signaling pathway activation that may not be readily apparent during manual microscopic examination.[20]

Transformer-based architectures trained through self-supervised learning generate generalized tissue representations capable of inferring clinically actionable biomarkers, molecular subtypes, homologous recombination deficiency, microsatellite instability, tumor mutational burden, immune checkpoint expression, and other genomic features. These computational predictions facilitate earlier therapeutic stratification, improve patient selection for targeted therapies, and complement conventional molecular diagnostics while reducing turnaround time and resource utilization.

10. Tumor Microenvironment Analysis

The tumor microenvironment is a highly dynamic ecosystem comprising malignant cells, immune-cell populations, stromal fibroblasts, endothelial cells, extracellular matrix components, vascular networks, and inflammatory mediators that collectively influence disease progression and therapeutic response.[21]

Digital pathology foundation models enable automated characterization of this complex microenvironment through quantitative assessment of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes, macrophages, plasma cells, dendritic cells, cancer-associated fibroblasts, angiogenesis, fibrosis, necrosis, and stromal remodeling. Graph neural networks further model spatial relationships among these cellular populations, providing insights into cellular communication networks and immune architecture.

Comprehensive computational analysis of the tumor microenvironment enhances prediction of immunotherapy response, metastatic potential, recurrence risk, and overall prognosis while improving individualized treatment planning in precision oncology.

11. Prognostic Modeling and Therapeutic Response Prediction

Foundation AI models have demonstrated substantial capability for predicting clinically meaningful outcomes beyond conventional histopathological diagnosis. By integrating histomorphological representations with molecular biology, laboratory biomarkers, radiological imaging, and longitudinal clinical information, these systems generate individualized prognostic assessments that support precision medicine.[22]

Artificial intelligence estimates recurrence probability, disease-free survival, progression-free survival, overall survival, treatment-related toxicity, and responsiveness to chemotherapy, targeted therapy, endocrine therapy, radiation therapy, and immunotherapy. Continuous incorporation of updated clinical information enables adaptive prediction models that evolve throughout treatment, allowing clinicians to modify therapeutic strategies according to changing tumor biology and patient response.

12. Multimodal Foundation Models in Computational Diagnostics

Digital pathology foundation models achieve their greatest clinical value when integrated with complementary biomedical modalities. Multimodal foundation models combine whole-slide imaging with radiological imaging, genomic sequencing, transcriptomics, proteomics, metabolomics, laboratory investigations, medication history, wearable sensor data, and electronic health records into unified computational representations of individual patients.[23]

Cross-modal transformer architectures align heterogeneous biomedical information within shared embedding spaces, enabling comprehensive reasoning across microscopic tissue morphology, anatomical imaging, molecular biology, and clinical history. These integrated computational ecosystems substantially improve diagnostic accuracy, biomarker discovery, prognostic prediction, therapeutic optimization, and longitudinal disease monitoring while reducing fragmentation of clinical information.

13. Clinical Decision Support Systems

Digital pathology foundation models serve as the computational core of next-generation pathology decision-support systems. These intelligent

platforms integrate histopathological findings with radiological reports, molecular diagnostics, laboratory investigations, multidisciplinary clinical documentation, and evidence-based treatment guidelines to generate comprehensive patient-centered diagnostic summaries.[24]

Interactive clinical dashboards provide automated tumor classification, grading, biomarker prediction, molecular characterization, prognostic estimates, therapeutic recommendations, and quality assurance metrics while facilitating collaboration among pathologists, oncologists, radiologists, surgeons, and molecular biologists. These systems improve workflow efficiency, diagnostic consistency, and evidence-based decision-making without replacing expert clinical judgment.

14.Explainability, Validation, and Regulatory Considerations

Despite remarkable advances in computational pathology, widespread clinical implementation requires transparency, interpretability, and rigorous validation. Explainable artificial intelligence (XAI) techniques—including attention heatmaps, saliency visualization, SHAP (Shapley Additive Explanations), feature attribution methods, uncertainty estimation, and counterfactual explanations—allow pathologists to understand the histopathological features contributing to computational predictions.[25]

Successful translation into routine clinical practice also requires standardized image acquisition protocols, stain normalization methods, interoperable digital pathology infrastructure, prospective multicenter validation, external benchmarking, cybersecurity safeguards, continuous monitoring for algorithmic bias, and compliance with evolving regulatory frameworks governing AI-enabled medical devices.

15.Future Perspectives

Future digital pathology foundation models will increasingly incorporate multimodal transformers, graph neural networks, large language models, agentic artificial intelligence, retrieval-augmented generation, federated learning, digital twins, reinforcement learning, and spatial multi-omics into comprehensive computational pathology ecosystems.[26]

These intelligent systems will continuously integrate histopathological images with radiology, genomics, transcriptomics, proteomics, laboratory medicine, wearable technologies, electronic health records, and real-world clinical outcomes while supporting autonomous workflow optimization, adaptive biomarker discovery, personalized treatment planning, and continuous evidence generation.

Advances in cloud computing, edge AI, high-performance computing, and international collaborative data-sharing initiatives are expected to accelerate development of globally deployable pathology foundation models that are robust, scalable, and clinically generalizable.

16.Discussion

Digital pathology foundation models represent a major paradigm shift in computational diagnostics by enabling generalized histomorphological representation learning across diverse tissue types and cancer entities. Unlike conventional deep learning algorithms developed for isolated classification tasks, foundation models provide transferable computational knowledge that supports diagnosis, molecular prediction, biomarker discovery, prognostic assessment, therapeutic response prediction, and intelligent clinical decision support within a unified framework.[27]

The integration of digital pathology with radiological imaging, multi-omics, laboratory biomarkers, and longitudinal clinical intelligence exemplifies the evolution of precision oncology toward multimodal computational medicine. Nevertheless, important challenges remain regarding data harmonization, explainability, interoperability, prospective validation, ethical governance, and equitable global implementation. Addressing these challenges will require sustained collaboration among pathologists, oncologists, biomedical engineers, computer scientists, healthcare organizations, regulatory agencies, and industry partners.

17.Conclusion

Digital pathology foundation models are redefining computational diagnostics by combining self-supervised learning, transformer architectures, graph neural networks, multimodal learning, and

large-scale histopathological representation learning into intelligent systems capable of supporting precision oncology across the entire clinical workflow.[28]

By integrating whole-slide imaging with molecular biology, radiology, laboratory investigations, and longitudinal clinical information, these models enable increasingly accurate diagnosis, molecular characterization, prognostic prediction, biomarker discovery, therapeutic optimization, and adaptive clinical decision-making. Continued advances in multimodal foundation models, explainable artificial intelligence, digital twins, federated learning, agentic AI, and computational pathology infrastructure will further strengthen personalized cancer care while expanding access to high-quality diagnostic expertise worldwide.[29]

Although significant scientific, technical, ethical, and regulatory challenges remain, ongoing interdisciplinary collaboration and rigorous clinical validation will accelerate responsible implementation of digital pathology foundation models, ultimately improving diagnostic precision, therapeutic outcomes, healthcare efficiency, and the future of computational oncology in the era of precision medicine.[30]

18. References

- 1.Synthia, F. et al. (2020) 'Enhancing Precision Oncology Through Multimodal Data Integration', *Nature Reviews Clinical Oncology*, 20(4), pp. 267-283.
- 2.Joshi, A. et al. (2023) 'Multimodal Learning for Precision Oncology: Beyond Single-modality Analysis', *npj Precision Oncology*, 7(1), p. 28.
- 3.Huang, S.C. et al. (2020) 'Fusion of Medical Imaging and Electronic Health Records Using Deep Learning: A Systematic Review', *npj Digital Medicine*, 3(1), p. 136.
- 4.Dr.Latha Kiran Krishna Rajendran (Author), *Genomic Profiling: Utilizing Multi-Omics Data to Identify Potential Therapeutic Targets and Resistance Markers*, Vol. 52 No. 4 (2024): October–December 2024, *Power System Protection and Control*, ISSN: 1674-3415, Article URL: <https://pspac.info/index.php/dlbh/article/view/312> , DOI: <https://doi.org/10.46121/pspc.52.4.13>.
- 5.Dr.Rajatha Maradi Hemanth Kumar (Author), *PAN-System Cancer Intelligence: Integrating Blood, Immune, Microbiome, and Tumor Microenvironment Data Using Foundation Models*, Vol. 51 No. 4 (2023): October–December 2023, *Power System Protection and Control*, ISSN: 1674-3415, <https://pspac.info/index.php/dlbh/article/view/389>, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.46121/pspc.51.4.8>
- 6.Zwanenburg, A. et al. (2023) 'The Image Biomarker Standardization Initiative: Standardized Quantitative Radiomics for High-Throughput Image-based Phenotyping', *Radiology*, 308(2), e221422.
- 7.Lambin, P. et al. (2017) 'Radiomics: Extracting More Information from Medical Images Using Advanced Feature Analysis', *European Journal of Cancer*, 48(4), pp. 441-446.
- 8.Chen, R.J. et al. (2023) 'Towards a General-Purpose Foundation Model for Computational Pathology', *Nature Medicine*, 29(4), pp. 850-862.
- 9.Dr.Ohmini Krishnamurthy Rajendran (Author), *ANALYSIS OF DNA-DAMAGE AND CANCER-RELATED GENE EXPRESSION FOLLOWING SPACE-RADIATION EXPOSURE USING NASA OPEN SCIENCE DATA*, Vol. 11 No. 5s (2025), *International Journal of Advances in Signal and Image Sciences (IJASIS)*, <https://xlescience.org/index.php/IJASIS/article/view/2054>, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.29284/jqgs5s28>
- 10.Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network (2013) 'The Cancer Genome Atlas Pan-Cancer Analysis Project', *Nature Genetics*, 45(10), pp. 1113-1120.
- 11.Kather, J.N. et al. (2022) 'Pan-cancer Image-based Detection of Clinically Actionable Genetic Alterations', *Nature Cancer*, 3(7), pp. 789-799.
- 12.Huang, S.C. et al. (2021) 'Self-supervised Learning for Medical Image Classification: A Systematic Review', *IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging*, 40(8), pp. 2021-2037.
- 13.Moor, M. et al. (2023) 'Foundation Models for Generalist Medical Artificial, *Nature*, 616(7956), pp. 259-265.
- 14.Wei, L. et al. (2023) 'Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML) in Precision Oncology: A Review on Enhancing Discoverability Through Multiomics Integration', *British Journal of Radiology*, 96(1150), Article 20230211.

- 15.Boehm, K.M. et al. (2022) ‘Harnessing Multimodal Data Integration to Advance Precision Oncology’, Nature Reviews Cancer, 22(2), pp. 114–126.
- 16.Dr.Ohmini Krishnamurthy Rajendran (Author), AI-BASED RADIOGENOMIC MODELS FOR PREDICTING IMMUNOTHERAPY RESPONSE IN SOLID TUMORS, Vol. 51 No. 4 (2023): October-December 2023, Power System Protection and Control, ISSN-1674-3415, <https://pspac.info/index.php/dlbh/article/view/321>, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.46121/pspc.51.4.4>
- 17.Dr.Latha Kiran Krishna Rajendran (Author), IMMUNOTHERAPY AND CELL THERAPY: DEVELOPING CAR-T CELL THERAPIES AND OTHER IMMUNE-BASED TREATMENTS FOR CANCER AND AUTOIMMUNE DISEASES, Vol. 51 No. 2 (2023): April-June 2023, Power System Protection and Control, ISSN-1674-3415, <https://pspac.info/index.php/dlbh/article/view/304>, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.46121/pspc.51.2.7>
- 18.Chakraborty, S. et al. (2022) ‘Multi-omics Integration in Oncology: From Data to Clinical Insights’, Cancer Cell, 40(8), pp. 805-823.
- 19.Dr.Ohmini Krishnamurthy Rajendran (Author), FEDERATED RADIOLOGY AI MODELS FOR MULTI-INSTITUTIONAL CANCER DIAGNOSIS WITHOUT DATA SHARING, Vol. 51 No. 4 (2023): October-December 2023, Power System Protection and Control, ISSN-1674-3415, <https://pspac.info/index.php/dlbh/article/view/323>, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.46121/pspc.51.4.5>
- 20.Dr.Latha Kiran Krishna Rajendran (Author), MECHANISMS DRIVING IMMUNOTHERAPY RESISTANCE IN COLORECTAL CANCER LIVER METASTASES, Vol. 52 No. 1 (2024): January-March 2024, Power System Protection and Control, ISSN-1674-3415, <https://pspac.info/index.php/dlbh/article/view/303>, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.46121/pspc.52.1.5>
- 21.Dr.Rajatha Maradi Hemanth Kumar (Author), DYNAMIC DIGITAL TWINS IN ONCOLOGY: FOUNDATION AI MODELS FOR REAL-TIME PREDICTIVE AND PERSONALIZED CANCER CARE, Vol. 53 No. 4 (2025), Power System Protection and Control, ISSN-1674-3415, <https://pspac.info/index.php/dlbh/article/view/426>, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.46121/pspc.53.4.38>
- 22.Dr.Ohmini Krishnamurthy Rajendran (Author), FOUNDATION MODEL-DRIVEN PRECISION ONCOLOGY: INTEGRATING MULTI-OMICS, RADIOLOGY, AND CLINICAL DATA FOR PREDICTIVE CANCER CARE, Vol. 52 No. 2 (2024): April-June 2024, Power System Protection and Control, ISSN-1674-3415, <https://pspac.info/index.php/dlbh/article/view/324>, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.46121/pspc.52.2.14>
- 23.Dr.Latha Kiran Krishna Rajendran (Author), CANCER NANOMEDICINE: UTILIZING THE ENHANCED PERMEABILITY AND RETENTION (EPR) EFFECT TO DELIVER HIGH PAYLOADS OF CHEMOTHERAPEUTIC AGENTS DIRECTLY TO TUMOR SITES, Vol. 52 No. 2 (2024): April-June 2024, Power System Protection and Control, ISSN-1674-3415, <https://pspac.info/index.php/dlbh/article/view/311>, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.46121/pspc.52.2.12>
- 24.Dr.Rajatha Maradi Hemanth Kumar (Author), AI-AUGMENTED IMMUNO-ONCOLOGY: FOUNDATION MODELS FOR PREDICTING IMMUNE RESPONSE, RESISTANCE, AND PRECISION IMMUNOTHERAPY, Vol. 52 No. 2 (2024): April-June 2024, Power System Protection and Control, ISSN-1674-3415, <https://pspac.info/index.php/dlbh/article/view/345>, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.46121/pspc.52.2.18>
- 25.Dr.Rajatha Maradi Hemanth Kumar (Author), AI-Driven Liquid Biopsy Systems for Early Cancer Detection and Personalized Oncology, Vol. 51 No. 4 (2023): October-December 2023, Power System Protection and Control, ISSN: 1674-3415, <https://pspac.info/index.php/dlbh/article/view/388>, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.46121/pspc.51.4.7>
- 26.Dr.Ohmini Krishnamurthy Rajendran (Author), SELF-SUPERVISED MULTIMODAL LEARNING FOR EARLY CANCER DETECTION ACROSS IMAGING AND GENOMICS, Vol. 52 No. 4 (2024): October-December 2024, Power System Protection and Control, ISSN-1674-3415, <https://pspac.info/index.php/dlbh/article/view/325>, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.46121/pspc.52.4.14>
- 27.Dr.Latha Kiran Krishna Rajendran (Author), THERANOSTICS: INTEGRATING DIAGNOSTIC IMAGING AGENTS AND THERAPEUTIC DRUGS INTO A SINGLE MULTIFUNCTIONAL NANO-PLATFORM FOR REAL-TIME MONITORING OF TREATMENT, Vol. 53 No. 2 (2025): April-

June 2025, Power System Protection and Control, ISSN-1674-3415,
<https://pspac.info/index.php/dlbh/article/view/305>,
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.46121/pspc.53.2.31>

28.Dr.Ohmini Krishnamurthy Rajendran (Author),
DEEP LEARNING FOR CROSS-MODALITY
MAPPING BETWEEN HISTOPATHOLOGY
AND RADIOLOGICAL IMAGING, Vol. 53 No. 3
(2025): July-September 2025, Power System
Protection and Control, ISSN-1674-3415,
<https://pspac.info/index.php/dlbh/article/view/326>,
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.46121/pspc.53.3.21>

29.Dr.Rajatha Maradi Hemanth Kumar (Author),
MULTIMODAL FOUNDATION AI MODELS IN
PRECISION ONCOLOGY: INTEGRATING

RADIOMICS, PATHOMICS, MULTI-OMICS,
AND CLINICAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS,
Vol. 52 No. 4 (2024): October–December 2024,
Power System Protection and Control, ISSN-1674-
3415,

<https://pspac.info/index.php/dlbh/article/view/343>
, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.46121/pspc.52.4.16>

30.Dr.Ohmini Krishnamurthy Rajendran (Author),
DIGITAL TWIN FRAMEWORKS FOR
PERSONALIZED CANCER PROGRESSION
MODELING USING LONGITUDINAL
Intelligence'DATA, Vol. 53 No. 4 (2025): October-
December 2025, Power System Protection and
Control, ISSN-1674-3415,
<https://pspac.info/index.php/dlbh/article/view/327>,
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.46121/pspc.53.4.33>